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Abstract
Throughout the Middle Ages there was little interest in theoretical science as such. Not since the Greeks had nature been considered a sufficient object in and of itself for most of the study that we would call scientific. The Middle Ages’ concern with nature was not its primary concern. The medievalist was interested in nature either as a mirror of the supernatural or as something which could be used in reaching the supernatural. The reappearance of Aristotle’s thought and the development of those practical and technical interests which grew up around the problems of trade and industry demanded a new and different attitude toward the natural world, one quite different from that of previous times. [excerpt]
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Studies are either irrelevant or downright dangerous.

If you dealt in medicine, do it in religious manner. Condone - thought the letter was formed like the Ark of the Covenant. Rediscovery of the natural findings of the Greeks.
those practical and technical interests which grew up around
the problems of trade and industry demanded a new and different
attitude toward the natural world, one quite different from
that of previous times.

It was primarily at the University of Oxford that this new
attitude toward nature was developed in the thirteenth century.
Oxford was the center of Augustinian thought and, through that,
of Platonism and Neoplatonism as well. Robert Grosseteste
(c. 1168-1253) was the first rector of the Franciscan school at
Oxford, founded about 1224. Later he was made bishop of Lincoln,
a post which included responsibility for the university. He was
therefore in a position to exercise great influence in the or-
ganization and direction of Franciscan education. The direction
which he gave was unique in its emphasis on the study of nature
for its own sake. Since nature was created by a good God, he
argued, knowledge of it was not something to be transcended.
Such knowledge of nature could, furthermore, lead man to God
without the help of any intermediaries. The unity of all know-
ledge could be asserted also, in contrast to any attempt to
divide it into two parts (Averroes) or into two levels (Aquinas).
As a result, the role of the individual knower became more sig-
nificant. Grosseteste learned Greek in order to read in the
original not only the newly discovered Aristotle, but many of
the Neoplatonic works as well. Thus he was able to spend his
time following out the suggestions of Aristotle rather than
trying to accommodate them to a Christian framework, as was
being done at the University of Paris.

Grosseteste thus began the process of weaving into a new
method those factors of reason and experience which were to
take the place of the medieval combination of faith and reason.
The questions of how and why no longer had to be arranged in a
hierarchical order in which the why determined the how. How
light traveled was just as important to the scientist and phi-
losopher as why it behaved as it did. It was no longer suf-
ficient to say that light acted the way it did because it was
fulfilling its nature or purpose. Furthermore, the person who
was concerned with practical and technical matters now could
have a set of theoretical tools to use, and his type of work no
longer needed to be depreciated as it had been by the Greeks,
who had concerned themselves primarily with why questions.

Roger Bacon (c. 1214-1294) studied under Grosseteste at
Oxford. From there he went to Paris and studied theology.
About this time the papacy was making a series of rulings
(1210-1245) on Aristotle in order to provide for his accommo-
dation to Christian beliefs. The various attempts at Paris to
carry out these rulings convinced Bacon that nothing creative
was being done there. He returned to Oxford and became a Fran-
ciscan, probably because of his teacher and because he found the
atmosphere of that order congenial to his study and experiments.
Bacon's philosophical work did not differ radically from the
work being done by some of his contemporaries. His unique con-
tribution was to add to his philosophical speculations

Aristotle (spheres embedded in a crystalline substance - sun, planets)
In science, the way to find truth was to use the authorities. Didn't take
Aristotle's work accepted because he was so much better than what they had before.
Grosseteste's interest in languages and mathematics, as well as his own emphasis on experimentation. He translated and wrote commentaries on Aristotelian and Neoplatonic texts. He compiled Greek and Hebrew grammars to help those who wanted to study the newly discovered materials, as well as the Bible, in the original languages. His work in mathematics emphasized the clarity and importance of the quantitative aspect of things, instead of the qualitative aspect which had hitherto been paramount. His experimentation emphasized the active participation of the scientist, rather than the more passive role suggested by experience in terms of mere inspection.

Bacon's experiments, however, got him into trouble because even scholars in his time were not ready to think of the knowledge of nature as good in and of itself. Such doings smacked of allegiance with the "other powers" or black magic. Bonaventura, then the general of the Franciscan Order, recalled Bacon to Paris and put him under surveillance, forbidding him to publish anything. This restriction continued for some time. But Pope Clement IV (1265-1268), who as papal legate in England had known Bacon's work, countermanded the order and commanded Bacon to summarize his philosophy and send it to Rome. Bacon dashed off three works within eighteen months. The first and most important of these was the Opus Majus (Greater Work, 1268), which was more of a prospectus of what he intended to do than a finished summary of the conclusions he had already reached. This work, like most of his writings, was characterized by a lack of organization, a jerky and unfinished style, and a generally critical attitude toward others. Evidently someone must have intervened in Bacon's behalf because he was allowed to return to Oxford. In 1277 his works, along with those of other writers, were condemned by his order because of "certain suspected novelties," and Bacon was forced to spend most of his remaining years in prison.

In such a situation, and with the loss of his only possible protector (Clement IV had died the same year that Bacon had written his three books), he had become the target of those whom he had so severely criticised. The charges that he was most fond of making focused on the lack of knowledge by his opponents of basic tools such as languages and mathematics. This meant that, in his eyes, the defenders of Christianity were often ignorant or stupid, or both. And the persons at whom he had aimed such charges were to be found through the entire Church. Nevertheless, Bacon had a genuine concern for knowledge and truth, and he did what he could to advance them, in his own way. It was this work he and Grosseteste began, and the new directions they opened up, which went a long way toward making Oxford a center of creative thought during their time.

The Opus Majus is divided into seven parts, one each on the causes of error, philosophy, languages, mathematics, optical science, experimental science, and moral philosophy. The very topics, the order in which they occur, and the amount of space given to each are important because they suggest clearly the
emphasis which Bacon was trying to give to the thought of his
time. The parts on mathematics and moral philosophy take up
almost two-thirds of the whole book. His approach to all of
these topics can be gathered from the opening paragraph of the
Opus Majus:
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Like most persons who start with a new approach, Bacon be-
lieved that there was much to be cleared away before it would be
possible to build the new edifice of knowledge as he envisioned
it. Therefore it became important to get rid of those factors
which stood in the way of man's grasping the new truth. So
Bacon turned immediately to the causes of error. In the para-
graph which summarizes these causes it is instructive to note
how he deals with the problem of authority, which he sees as
one of the obstacles to knowledge:
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Bacon next pointed out that all true knowledge is one. Since as a medievalist he accepted Christ's teaching as true, this meant that all knowledge was contained within His revelation. And as "the truth of Jesus Christ is in the wisdom of the Scriptures," it is to them that men should turn for the "one perfect wisdom." The means of gaining this knowledge were at first threefold: authority, reason, and experience; but later Bacon added a fourth way, that of moral philosophy. This additional science he believed was better than the other three because it was active rather than speculative. It "instructs man in his relation to God, and to his neighbor, and to himself." The Thomistic hierarchical order of reason leading up to faith with the help of the Church was thus radically altered. Experience was given the place of priority as the source of man's certitude, and activity in the sense of moral action was placed above speculation and contemplation.

Roger Bacon is chiefly known for his introduction of experience and the experimental method into the stream of scientific thought. Experience, as he pointed out, is of two kinds: internal and external. The latter refers to our sensory knowledge of things heavenly (such as the planets) and earthly, and is aided by whatever instruments we can construct, while the former refers to our spiritual knowing. This spiritual knowing is not to be limited to religious matters. It is to be applied to scientific matters as well. And it was for experience in these two senses that Bacon was hopeful. He believed that experience thus interpreted and used would solve many of the problems of his time.
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Bacon accepted the medieval world-picture, but within this picture he asked a number of questions different from those which others had been asking. His questions centered about the problems of the relation of reason and experience. His concept of reason was dominated by the measurable or quantitative factors, rather than the purposeful or qualitative factors. And between reason and experience he chose experience as the more important, giving it his own unique interpretation which emphasized the active participation of the experiencer. The priority of this kind of experience emphasized even further Bacon's insistence on a person's moral activity as the application of the scientific principles which he had reached. In ways such as these Bacon became the greatest of the medieval scientists. While his more immediate influence is to be found in the philosophical and religious thought of such other Franciscans as Duns Scotus and William of Occam, his long-term influence is to be found in the much later flowering of Renaissance science.

* Ibid., II, 583-588, 615-616, 627-628.