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Fruit and Fish: Alison Goodwin’s Reimaging of the Modernist Motif

Abstract
Alison Goodwin’s painting Cantaloupe (2008) at first appears, perhaps naively, to depict a still life of fruit and flowers on a table: pomegranate, cantaloupe, sunflowers, and a drink. Beneath two rusty red and murky green lines, a diamond pattern demarcates the floor from the wall above. Next to the mottled green-and-red wall is a view through an open window. Three narrow houses lean precariously to the left; the windows are indicated, almost carelessly, by blocks of watery black paint. Two stylized trees with foliage shaped into bulbous spheres punctuate the row of buildings. Goodwin’s particular style, with its emphasis on a skewed perspective, flattened forms, and broadly applied colors, cannot—and should not—be read as unsophisticated or unknowing. Rather, Goodwin’s paintings reinterpret the work of some of the most important nineteenth- and early twentieth-century painters. She deliberately evokes the style and subjects of European modernists such as Henri Matisse, Paul Gauguin, and Vincent van Gogh. Each of her paintings recalls the implied formal tension between depicted three-dimensional space and the literal flatness of painted planes of color and stylized forms that her predecessors welcomed. Matisse, Cézanne, and others in the late nineteenth century rejected academic norms of picture making (painting realistically through modeling, shade, and one-point perspective). By revisiting these artists’ aesthetic, Goodwin complicates this historical progression and inserts her own mark onto the modernist (and particularly male-dominated) canon. [excerpt]
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Fruit and Fish: Alison Goodwin’s Reimaging of the Modernist Motif

Alison Goodwin’s painting *Cantaloupe* (2008) at first appears, perhaps naively, to depict a still life of fruit and flowers on a table: pomegranate, cantaloupe, sunflowers, and a drink. Beneath two rusty red and murky green lines, a diamond pattern demarcates the floor from the wall above. Next to the mottled green-and-red wall is a view through an open window. Three narrow houses lean precariously to the left; the windows are indicated, almost carelessly, by blocks of watery black paint. Two stylized trees with foliage shaped into bulbous spheres punctuate the row of buildings. Goodwin’s particular style, with its emphasis on a skewed perspective, flattened forms, and broadly applied colors, cannot—and should not—be read as unsophisticated or unknowing. Rather, Goodwin’s paintings reinterpret the work of some of the most important nineteenth- and early twentieth-century painters. She deliberately evokes the style and subjects of European modernists such as Henri Matisse, Paul Gauguin, and Vincent van Gogh. Each of her paintings recalls the implied formal tension between depicted three-dimensional space and the literal flatness of painted planes of color and stylized forms that her predecessors welcomed. Matisse, Cézanne, and others in the late nineteenth century rejected academic norms of picture making (painting realistically through modeling, shade, and one-point perspective). By revisiting these artists’ aesthetic, Goodwin complicates this historical progression and inserts her own mark onto the modernist (and particularly male-dominated) canon.

The diamond shapes on the floor, the circles on the jug, and the daubs of paint on the surface of the melon in *Cantaloupe* follow Matisse’s interest in pattern and application of bold, unmodulated color. Like many of Matisse’s works, the repetition of shape, line, and color in Goodwin’s painting creates formal rhythms that move the eye around the canvas. Her still life is paradoxically balanced by the scene through the window and the flat but compelling green wall. Because of this emphasis on the wall and the tipping up of the horizontal planes of the floor and table, Goodwin’s composition presents space that hovers between flatness and depth.

While Goodwin’s choice of sunflowers in *Cantaloupe* evokes van Gogh’s now
infamous subject (*Vase with Fourteen Sunflowers*, 1888), the slice of melon in the immediate foreground of the composition conjures another monumental work of European modernism, Picasso’s *Demoiselle’s d’Avignon* (1907). Although the dominant subject of Picasso’s painting is five prostitutes, a small still life of fruit with an almost identically depicted slice of melon is presented in the immediate foreground of the painting. Picasso intended for his work to rupture the conventions of painting through spatial ambiguities and assault the viewer with this scene of overt sexuality. His melon slice suggests a blade that both penetrates the space and alludes to the sexual act. In addition to the similarities between the fruit in each painting, the seemingly vaginal incision of the whole melon placed to the slice’s right in *Cantaloupe* serves as a stand-in for the seated nude at right in *Demoiselles*, who aggressively faces the viewer with legs spread apart. While Goodwin does not imitate Picasso precisely nor straightforwardly take on his subject, she furthers this bodily symbolism into her still life by pushing two pomegranates snugly between the melon and vase of sunflowers. Pomegranates, traditionally a symbol of abundance, here evoke femininity, sexuality, and a kind of full physicality that Picasso presents more directly. The rounded, tactile, and accessible fruit is offered for the viewer’s visual delectation.

In addition to presenting a metaphorical meal, Goodwin’s *Cantaloupe* offers complicated formal play via the conflation of indoor and outdoor space. Through the window at right, one sees tall, narrow row houses and towering trees (read in one way, perhaps, as a symbol for a male space?), which make up a fantastical cityscape. Because of the naive presentation of this background, one is uncertain whether this curiously rendered section of the painting truly depicts the outside. Matisse often employed the motif of an open window to suggest a picture within a picture in order to make a painting about painting itself. Goodwin, like Matisse, uses this framing device to indicate that this outdoor scene possibly is an “actual” painting within the painting. Reduced to primary colors and simple shapes, the houses and trees offer a strange, almost childlike counterpart to the incised melon. Despite the overall emphasis on flatness in the painting, the marks of white on the glass establish the roundedness of the object. At once these daubs indicate gleaming reflections of a light source and, contradictorily, appear simply as smears of paint. Because each quadrant of the painting works to disrupt the notion of a painting as a congruous whole, *Cantaloupe* can be classed neither as a traditional still life nor a natural landscape.

Nineteenth-century New England painter Winslow Homer, one of Goodwin’s influences, makes a curious bedfellow to the artists Goodwin evokes in her
other work. Although Homer is a contemporary to these painters, his approach and aesthetic differ greatly from his European counterparts. Homer, then, provides a historical, nationalistic link between Goodwin’s pictorial interests in late nineteenth-century art history and her own personal connections to the New England coast. In *Fish Guts and Gasoline* (2008), for example, Goodwin appropriates the subject of Homer’s dynamic painting *The Fog Warning* (1885) with the palette and style of French nineteenth-century painter Paul Gauguin. Similar to Homer’s composition in *The Fog Warning*, Goodwin takes the central figure of a man in a fishing boat on the sea as her subject in *Fish Guts and Gasoline*. After acquiring a day’s catch, the fisherman in each painting sails away from the viewer. The stormy waters, ominous sky, and heavy oars present a challenge to Homer’s figure, whose enormous fish and choppy waters tip the boat into a precarious angle. Land is not yet in sight, but a far-off sailboat can be faintly seen on the horizon in *The Fog Warning*. Goodwin also uses this exaggerated position of the boat to anchor her composition, but the situation appears far less perilous than Homer’s scene. The motorboat takes Goodwin’s fisherman safely toward the warm, wooded shore situated closely at the right of the composition. The clear blue skies punctuated by gleaming white clouds echo the almost joyful froth of the waves created by the momentum of the boat. While Homer’s behemoth fish conjures an arduous tug-of-war between man and nature, the abundant but manageable catch in Goodwin’s painting does not weigh down the sprightly vessel.

Perhaps most surprising in *Fish Guts and Gasoline* is the gold halo encircling the fisherman’s head. In spite of her fetid title, the figure is no longer an ordinary fisherman. Goodwin deifies him; the halo grants him, however ironically, an extraordinary, saintly status presumably at odds with the commonplace job and the familiar subject. Rather than reading Goodwin’s adoration of the fisherman as a kind of exaltation of the everyday, the style of the halo and its enigmatic presence is reminiscent of Gauguin’s Symbolist paintings. Gauguin portrayed the piety he saw among the peasants of northern France through bold colors and biblical scenes and symbols. His own *Self-Portrait* (1889) features a halo over his disembodied head. By taking Gauguin’s halo, van Gogh’s colors, Matisse’s patterns, and Picasso’s ambiguities of subject and space, Goodwin presents a complicated marriage of particular art-historical references. She avoids pastiche and instead finds originality in a careful use of a visual and historical language. Goodwin translates the pictorial concerns of nineteenth- and early twentieth-century artists into a new vision for contemporary painting.