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Unresolved Question of the 10He Ground State Resonance

Abstract
The group state of $^{10}$He was populated using a 2p2n-removal from a 59 MeV/u $^{14}$Be beam. The decay energy of the three body system, $^{8}$He + n + n, was measured and a resonance was observed at $E = 1.60(25)$ MeV with a $1.8(4)$ MeV width. This result is in agreement with previous invariant mass spectroscopy measurements, using the $^{11}$Li(-p) reaction, but is consistent with recent transfer reaction results. The proposed explanation that the difference, about 500 keV, is due to the effect of the extended halo nature of $^{11}$Li in the one-proton knockout reaction is no longer valid as the present work demonstrates that the discrepancy between the transfer reaction is no longer valid as the present work demonstrates that the discrepancy between the transfer reaction results persists despite using a very different reaction mechanism, $^{14}$Be(-2p2n).

Keywords
invariant mass spectroscopy, transfer reaction

Disciplines
Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics

Authors

This article is available at The Cupola: Scholarship at Gettysburg College: http://cupola.gettysburg.edu/physfac/64
Unresolved Question of the $^{10}$He Ground State Resonance

Z. Kohley,1,* J. Snyder,1,2 T. Baumann,3 G. Christian,1,2,3 P. A. DeYoung,4 J. E. Finck,5 R. A. Haring-Kaye,6 M. Jones,1,2 E. Lunderberg,4 B. Luther,7 S. Mosby,1,2,4 A. Simon,1 J. K. Smith,1,2 A. Spyrou,1,2 S. L. Stephenson,8 and M. Thoennessen1,2

1National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
2Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
3National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
4Department of Physics, Hope College, Holland, Michigan 49422-9000, USA
5Department of Physics, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, Michigan 48859, USA
6Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio Wesleyan University, Delaware, Ohio 43015, USA
7Department of Physics, Concordia College, Moorhead, Minnesota 56562, USA
8Department of Physics, Gettysburg College, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325, USA

(Received 8 August 2012; published 4 December 2012)

The ground state of $^{10}$He was populated using a $2p2n$-removal reaction from a 59 MeV/u $^{14}$Be beam. The decay energy of the three-body system, $^{3}$He + n + n, was measured and a resonance was observed at $E = 1.60(5)$ MeV with a 1.8(4) MeV width. This result is in agreement with previous invariant mass spectroscopy measurements, using the $^{11}$Li($-p$) reaction, but is inconsistent with recent transfer reaction results. The proposed explanation that the difference, about 500 keV, is due to the effect of the extended halo nature of $^{11}$Li in the one-proton knockout reaction is no longer valid as the present work demonstrates that the discrepancy between the transfer reaction results persists despite using a very different reaction mechanism, $^{14}$Be($-2p2n$).
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The evolution of radioactive ion beam facilities has made it possible to study nuclei at and beyond the limits of stability [1]. Measuring the properties of unbound nuclei, which are located beyond the neutron or proton drip lines, allows for stringent constraints to be placed on theoretical calculations as they provide access to nuclei with extreme neutron-to-proton ratios ($N/Z$). The two-neutron unbound $^{10}$He has the largest $N/Z$ of any nucleus (bound or unbound) beyond the hydrogen isotopes and thus has been the focus of many experimental studies. The actual energy of the $^{10}$He ground state resonance has been somewhat controversial as inconsistencies have been observed between different experimental measurements.

Recently, Sidorchuk et al. claimed to have observed the ground state resonance of $^{10}$He at 2.1(2) MeV from the missing mass spectrum of the $^{3}$He($^{8}$He, $p$)$^{10}$He transfer reaction measured at the JINR in Dubna [2]. A previous measurement of the same reaction, using the same detector apparatus at JINR, reported the $^{10}$He ground state resonance to be at $\sim$ 3 MeV [3]. This large 1 MeV difference between the nearly identical experiments was attributed only to increased statistics in the more recent work of Sidorchuk et al. In comparison, Korsheninnikov et al. [4] and, more recently, Johansson et al. [5,6] used one-proton knockout reactions from $^{11}$Li to populate $^{10}$He and, using invariant-mass spectroscopy, measured the ground state to be at 1.2(3) and 1.54(11) MeV, respectively. A clear discrepancy is observed with larger resonance energies being extracted from the transfer reaction experiments in comparison to the $^{11}$Li($-p$) experiments.

However, in both of the transfer reaction studies [2,3] this discrepancy was reconciled through the theoretical calculations of Grigorenko and Zhukov [7], which showed that the observed peak energy in the $^{3}$He + n + n spectrum would be dependent of the source size of the reaction. The calculations showed that the extended wave function, or increased source size, of $^{11}$Li in comparison to $^{3}$He (used in the transfer reactions) would produce a shift from $\geq 2$ MeV to $\sim 1$ MeV in the $^{10}$He energy spectrum [7]. This prediction was used to account for the difference between the transfer and invariant-mass measurements. Thus, it was claimed that the different $^{10}$He resonance energies were consistent and the transfer measurements allowed for the actual (unshifted) $^{10}$He ground state energy to be determined [2,3].

As Grigorenko and Zhukov noted, it is important to pursue measurements of the $^{10}$He ground state resonance through additional reactions not utilizing $^{11}$Li [7]. Such experiments would also test the proposed consistency between the transfer and $^{11}$Li($-p$) measurements [2,3]. In the following, we report on the observation of the two-neutron unbound $^{10}$He ground state resonance populated from a $2p2n$-removal reaction from a $^{14}$Be beam. Even though $^{14}$Be, like $^{11}$Li, has a halo structure [8], the predicted shift in the $^{10}$He energy spectra should be significantly altered, if present at all, because the reaction mechanism is very different in comparison to the one-proton knockout from $^{11}$Li.
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The Coupled Cyclotron Facility at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory at Michigan State University was used to produce a 120 MeV/u $^{18}$O primary beam which bombarded a thick 3196 mg/cm$^2$ Be production target. The A1900 fragment separator allowed for the secondary beam of interest, $^{14}$Be, to be selected from the other fragmentation products and primary beam. The 59 MeV/u $^{14}$Be secondary beam, at a rate of roughly 1000 pps, impinged on a 435 mg/cm$^2$ deuterated carbon target. The time of flight between the A1900 focal plane and a scintillator placed in front of the reaction target allowed for the $^{14}$Be to be cleanly selected from other contaminants in the secondary beam. The two-neutron unbound $^{10}$He was populated from the 2$p$2$n$-removal reaction and immediately decayed into $^8$He + n + n.

The decay energy of the three-body system can be reconstructed using invariant-mass spectroscopy by measuring the four-momentum of the $^8$He fragment and the two neutrons. The 4-Tm large-gap Sweeper magnet [9] was used to deflect the $^8$He fragments $\sim 43^\circ$ into a set of position and energy sensitive charged particle detectors. The He isotopes were selected from the $\Delta E - E$ measurement made with thin (0.5 cm) and thick (15 cm) plastic scintillators. The correlations between the time of flight, dispersive position, and dispersive angle of the fragments were used to separate the different He isotopes (see Ref. [10] for further details on the mass identification procedure). The $^8$He was easily identified from $^6$He, as $^7$He is unbound. The two position-sensitive cathode-readout drift chambers, placed after the Sweeper magnet, allowed for the tracking of $^8$He fragments through the magnet. The position and momentum of the fragments at the target were reconstructed using an inverse transformation matrix [11] for ray tracing created from the ion optics program COSY INFINITY [12].

The two neutrons emitted in the decay of $^{10}$He were measured using the Modular Neutron Array (MoNa) [13,14]. MoNa consists of 144 plastic scintillator bars, each 200 cm $\times$ 10 cm $\times$ 10 cm. The array was configured into two blocks of detector bars. Each block consisted of nine walls, each eight bars high, resulting in a total active area 4 m wide $\times$ 0.8 m tall. The first block of detectors provided angular coverage from $0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 12^\circ$ in the lab frame while the second block covered $10^\circ \leq \theta \leq 35^\circ$. The extended angular coverage of this configuration, in comparison to previous experiments [10,15–17], provided increased efficiency for higher decay energies.

Recently, MoNa has been used to measure the ground state resonances of other two-neutron unbound nuclei [16,17] and an important component in each analysis was the discrimination of false 2$n$ events from true 2$n$ events. In each event with a coincident $^8$He fragment, the first and second time-ordered interactions in MoNa are analyzed. Both interactions could result from the interactions of two separate neutrons (true 2$n$ event) or a single neutron scattering twice within the array (false 2$n$ event), which is often referred to as cross talk. These false 2$n$ events are greatly reduced, relative to the true 2$n$ events, by applying causality cuts which require that the distance between the first and second interaction ($D_{12}$) be greater than 30 cm and relative velocity ($V_{12}$) calculated between the two interactions be greater than the beam velocity, $\sim 10$ cm/ns. The relative velocity is calculated as $V_{12} = D_{12}/(t_2 - t_1)$, where $t_1$ ($t_2$) is the time of flight recorded from the first (second) interaction in MoNa. Since the velocity of a scattered neutron will be reduced with respect to its initial velocity (roughly 10 cm/ns), the $V_{12}$ calculated from the scattered neutron interactions must be less than that initial velocity. Additional information on the use of similar causality cuts can be found in Refs. [16–19]. As shown in Ref. [17], while the majority of the false 2$n$ events are removed by the causality cuts, some component of the cross talk will remain present. This remaining cross talk can be estimated from the Monte Carlo simulation (discussed below) and removed from the experimental three-body decay spectrum [17].

The three-body decay energy of $^{10}$He was defined as $E_{\text{decay}} = M_{^6\text{He}} - M_{^4\text{He}} - 2M_n$, where $M_{^6\text{He}}$ ($M_{^4\text{He}}$) is the mass of $^{10}$He ($^8$He) and $M_n$ is the neutron mass. The invariant mass, $M_{^6\text{He}}$, was calculated from the experimentally measured four-momenta of the $^8$He and two neutrons. Figure 1 shows the experimental three-body decay energy of $^{10}$He with the above mentioned 2$n$ causality cuts applied and the remaining cross talk estimated from the simulation removed. A clear peak in the energy spectrum is observed.
around 1.5 MeV, indicating the presence of a broad resonance in the $^{8}\text{He} + n + n$ system.

A Monte Carlo simulation was used to simulate the decay of $^{10}\text{He}$ accounting for all efficiencies, acceptances, and resolutions of MoNa, the Sweeper magnet, and the charged particle detectors. As detailed in Ref. [19], the interaction of the neutrons in MoNa was simulated within the GEANT4 [20,21] framework with the addition of the MENATE_R physics class [22], which has been shown to accurately reproduce the experimental observables associated with multiple neutron scattering. This allows for the causality cuts to be applied to the Monte Carlo simulation and for the remaining cross talk to be accurately estimated and removed from the data [17,19].

The ground state resonance of $^{10}\text{He}$ was simulated using a Breit-Wigner line shape with an energy-dependent width and the partitioning of the energy between the fragment and two neutrons was determined from a three-body phase space decay [23,24]. An angular momentum of $L = 1$ was used for the energy-dependent width of the Breit-Wigner lineshape as the $^{10}\text{He}$ ground state, in the standard shell model, would have a $\nu(p_{1/2})^2$ configuration. Along with the presence of a resonance, the experimental three-body decay energy spectrum extends past 10 MeV, indicating either a nonresonant background or the presence of higher lying excited states which could not be resolved. A component of the nonresonant background could be from the detection of the neutrons removed in the $2p2n$-removal reaction. The background presented in Fig. 1 was simulated as a thermal Maxwellian distribution where the energy of each of the two neutrons was sampled separately.

The experimental three-body decay energy distribution was fit by allowing amplitudes of the Breit-Wigner line shape and Maxwellian distribution to vary. Additionally, the Breit-Wigner energy ($E$) and width ($\Gamma$) as well as the Maxwellian distribution energy were free parameters in the fit. The best fit from the $\chi^2$ analysis is presented in Fig. 1 with a $\chi^2/\nu = 0.75$. The experimental energy spectrum is reproduced well from the sum of the Breit-Wigner resonance and nonresonant background, shown as the solid black line. The minimum $\chi^2$ corresponded to $E = 1.60(25)$ MeV, $\Gamma = 1.8(4)$ MeV, and a 4 MeV energy for the Maxwellian distribution. The relatively large width is in good agreement with values extracted from previous works suggesting $\Gamma \sim 2.0$ MeV [24,5,6]. The associated error in the energy and width of the Breit-Wigner resonance includes both statistical and systematic errors. The systematic error was estimated by varying the form of the high decay energy background between a Maxwellian distribution (as shown in Fig. 1), a correlated background function based on the initial state of $^{11}\text{Be}$ [25], and the inclusion of previously observed excited states in $^{10}\text{He}$ [24,5,6,26]. The experimental decay energy spectrum was fit with each of the background functions, including the Breit-Wigner line shape, and the systematic error was determined from the variation in the energy and width from the best fits. An example is shown in the inset of Fig. 1 where the fit of the experimental data includes the first excited state of $^{10}\text{He}$ as measured in Ref. [5] with $E = 3.99$ MeV and $\Gamma = 1.64$ MeV. Although we cannot extract details on the first excited state from our measurement, the previously discovered state around 4 MeV fits nicely into the decay spectrum. However, due to the discrepancies in the energy and width of the excited state [24,5,6,26], the final fit (Fig. 1) is shown unbiased by its inclusion.

The measured $^{10}\text{He}$ ground state resonance at $E = 1.60(25)$ MeV from the current work is compared to all other experimental measurements in Fig. 2. As previously discussed, the experiments using the $^3\text{H}(^8\text{He}, p)^{10}\text{He}$ transfer reaction to populate $^{10}\text{He}$ (solid stars) show a larger resonance energy in comparison to the $^{11}\text{Li}$ one-proton knockout reactions (open circles). The results of the different $^{11}\text{Li}(\sim p)$ measurements, within the reported uncertainties, are consistent. An additional measurement was made by Ostrowski et al. using a double charge exchange reaction, $^{10}\text{Be}^{(\text{C}, \text{C})}^{10}\text{He}$, and a resonance energy of 1.07(07) MeV was extracted (solid cross) [26]. However, a large discrepancy is observed between the very narrow widths reported from the double charge exchange measurement for the ground state ($\Gamma = 0.3$ MeV) and excited states in comparison to all other measurements. Thus, the resonance energy reported by Ostrowski et al., which is significantly lower than the recent measurements, has to be regarded with circumspection.

In focusing on the most recent results, the measurement from the current work is in excellent agreement with the resonance energy measured by Johansson et al. [5,6] at GSI, Darmstadt, Germany using the LAND detector [27].

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png) (color online). Compilation of all experimental measurements of the $^{10}\text{He}$ ground state resonance. The reaction mechanism used to populate $^{10}\text{He}$ is described in the legend. The associated references for each entry are Kor94 [4], Ost94 [26], Koh97 [34], Gol09 [3], Joh10 [5,6], and Sid12 [2]. Note that the Sid12 datum represents an updated measurement of the Gol09 experiment (shown with the dashed line).
In both cases, the $^{10}$He ground state resonance was measured using invariant mass spectroscopy. However, very different reaction mechanisms were used to populate the resonance. In comparison, the transfer reaction measurement by Sidorchuk [2] is about 500 keV above the invariant mass spectroscopy results. Despite the halo nature of $^{14}$Be, it is unlikely that the $2p2n$-removal reaction would produce the same predicted shift in the $^{10}$He energy spectrum as the one-proton knockout from $^{11}$Li. The calculations by Grigorenko and Zhukov assume a “sudden removal” of the proton from the $^{11}$Li and that the recoil momentum transferred to the $^{10}$He is relatively small, such that it can be neglected [7]. In the $2p2n$ removal from $^{14}$Be both of these assumptions may not be valid as the time scale of the reaction mechanism will be longer in comparison to the one-proton knockout and the recoil momentum from a four nucleon removal may not be negligible. Previous studies of $^{14}$Be and $^{14}$B fragmentation at similar energies have shown that multistep dissipative processes, in comparison to direct reactions, are the dominant reaction mechanism [28,29]. A signature of these nondirect reactions, where the sudden-removal approximation is invalid, was that the velocity distributions of the fragments were shifted below that of the beam velocity [28]. In the present experiment, the mean velocity of the $^{3}$He fragments was 8.85 cm/ns in comparison to a beam velocity of 10 cm/ns, which strongly suggests that the $^{14}$Be($-2p2n$) is not a direct reaction. Additionally, the two neutrons removed from $^{14}$Be could be from the $^{12}$Be core or the halo. In the latter case, the source size should no longer be representative of the extended $^{14}$Be wave function and, thus, would not produce a shift in the $^{10}$He energy spectrum. For these reasons, it appears that the discrepancy between the transfer reaction measurement of Sidorchuk et al. [2] and the invariant mass spectroscopy results of Johansson et al. [5,6] as well as the present work persists.

It is also important to recognize that the calculated shift in the $^{10}$He energy spectra, due to an extended source size, is strongly dependent on the position of the $1/2^-$ state (neutron $p_{1/2}$ single particle state) and $s$-wave scattering length ($a_s$) in $^9$He [7]. The predicted $\sim$1 MeV shift in the $^{10}$He energy was calculated with the $1/2^-$ state at 2 MeV and a positive $s$-wave scattering length of 2.9 fm. It should be noted that these inputs also allowed for the measured $^{10}$He ground state and excited states from Sidorchuk et al. [2] to be reproduced. While Golovkov et al. measured the $1/2^-$ state energy to be 2.0(2) MeV [30], three other separate experiments reported energies between 1.13 and 1.33 MeV [5,31,32]. Additionally, measured isobaric analog states in $^{9}$Li indicated that the $^9$He $1/2^-$ state should be at 1.1 MeV [33]. If the $1/2^-$ state is near 1.1 MeV, the theoretical shift in the $^{10}$He energy spectrum is significantly reduced [7]. Similarly, if the $^8$He + $n$ scattering length is small (large negative number) the shift in the $^{10}$He energy is, again, reduced. Much like the $1/2^-$ state, different experimental constraints on the scattering length have been reported. Chen et al. set a limit of $a_s < -10$ fm, while Golovkov et al. extracted a limit of $a_s > -20$ fm [30]. The invariant mass spectroscopy measurement from Johansson et al. reported $a_s = -3.17(66)$ fm [5]. Thus, the wide variations in the experimentally measured $^9$He structure do not allow for the theoretical $^{10}$He shift calculation to be constrained. Studies aimed at resolving the discrepancies in the $1/2^-$ state energy and $s$-wave scattering length of the $^9$He system are encouraged.

In summary, a $2p2n$-removal reaction from $^{14}$Be was used to populate the ground state resonance of the two-neutron unbound $^{10}$He. The experimental three-body decay energy spectrum was fit with a Breit-Wigner line shape and a nonresonant background. The best fit corresponded to a resonance with $E = 1.60(25)$ MeV and $\Gamma = 1.8(4)$ MeV. This is in good agreement with previous invariant mass spectroscopy measurements [5,6] of $^{10}$He populated from one-proton knockout reactions of $^{11}$Li. However, recent transfer reaction measurements [2,3] indicated that the $^{10}$He resonance is at a higher energy than the $^{11}$Li($-p$) measurements. Grigorenko and Zhukov provided a theoretical explanation, based on a shift in the peak energy of the $^{10}$He system due to the halo structure of the $^{11}$Li beam, which allowed for the different measurements to be considered consistent [7]. However, this appears to no longer be a viable explanation due to the $^{14}$Be($-2p2n$) measurement and, therefore, demonstrates that inconsistencies are still present in the existing $^{10}$He and $^9$He experimental data.
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