The Cupola Scholarship at Gettysburg College

Psychology Faculty Publications

Psychology

10-19-2018

What You Don't Know About Motivational Laptop Stickers

Cindy J. Campoverde-Reinoso Gettysburg College

Stella Nicolaou Gettysburg College

Kathy R. Berenson Gettysburg College Roles

Cindy J. Campoverde-Reinoso '20, Gettysburg College Stella Nicolaou '19, Gettysburg College

Follow this and additional works at: https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/psyfac

Part of the <u>Psychology Commons</u>

Share feedback about the accessibility of this item.

Campoverde-Reinoso, Cindy, Stella Nicolaou, and Kathy R. Berenson. "What You Don't Know About Motivational Laptop Stickers." Poster presented for Sixth Annual Poster Presentation for the Cross-Disciplinary Science Institute at Gettysburg College, Gettysburg, PA, October 19, 2018.

This is the author's version of the work. This publication appears in Gettysburg College's institutional repository by permission of the copyright owner for personal use, not for redistribution. Cupola permanent link: https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/psyfac/81

This open access poster is brought to you by The Cupola: Scholarship at Gettysburg College. It has been accepted for inclusion by an authorized administrator of The Cupola. For more information, please contact cupola@gettysburg.edu.

What You Don't Know About Motivational Laptop Stickers

Abstract

Mental health problems are on the rise among young adults in the U.S. (Kingkade, 2016). At the same time, today's U.S. young adults are significantly more invested than their older counterparts in portraying themselves as "positive" (self-confident, easy-going, and happy; Berenson et al., 2018). Although many have begun to speculate that these two trends may be linked (e.g., Fagan, 2017), little empirical research on this issue exists.

Keywords

mental health, laptop stickers, motivational quotes

Disciplines

Psychology

Comments

This poster was presented at the Sixth Annual Poster Presentation for the Cross-Disciplinary Science Institute at Gettysburg College (X-SIG) on October 19, 2018.

What You Don't Know About Motivational Laptop Stickers Cindy Campoverde, Stella Nicolaou & Kathy R. Berenson Department of Psychology, Gettysburg College, Gettysburg, PA

(Kingkade, 2016). At the same time, today's U.S. young adults are significantly more invested than their older counterparts in portraying themselves as "positive" (self-confident, easy-going, and happy; Berenson et al., 2018). Although many have begun to speculate that these two trends may be linked (e.g., Fagan, 2017), little empirical research on this issue exists.

Accumulating evidence suggests a downside to being so highly invested in "positivity":

- are stigmatized and avoided than in cultures where negative emotions are accepted and valued (De Vaus et al., 2018).
- Although negative emotions are commonly assumed to be detrimental to psychological/physical health and functioning, this is mainly only true for people who hold negative beliefs
- an increase in depression over time (Dejonckheere et al., 2017).
- Relative to neutral control conditions, conditions that attempt to promote "positivity" cause vulnerable individuals to experience feelings of invalidation/isolation (McGuirk et al., 2018; Wood et al., 2009; Marigold et al., 2014).



laptops. We hypothesized that doing so would be associated with

More mental health problems (e.g., depression, anxiety, self-injury)

Figure 1. Pressure to hide negative emotions as a function of sticke Interaction effect, F(1, 368) = 2.56, p = .111. Effect of sticker prese

= 23.63, <i>SD</i> = 1.89).	5 4 3 2
s that included:	1Figure 2. Depression as a function ofInteraction effect, $F(1, 368) = 10.96$
about feeling pressure to essure to put on a positive rnal consistency (α) = .95.	
Derogatis,1975) oms in the last two weeks. rthlessness"), $\alpha = .93$. eyed up"), $\alpha = .92$.	6 5 4 3 2
uicidal self-injurious urges t yourself on purpose") in	Figure 3. Anxiety as a function of sti- Interaction effect, $F(1, 368) = 11.31$
v had any stickers on ositive," "happy,"	5
	4 3 2
	Figure 4. Self-injury as a function of Interaction effect, $F(1, 368) = 19.71$
t least one motivational sticker. ed by participants with versus ce) X 2 (gender) ANOVAs.	
ure to hide negative emotions nd on gender (Figure 1). htly higher in participants with so showed significant e association of stickers with	As predicted, use of motivative feeling more pressure to hid of depression, anxiety, and sticker usage and mental her in men than in women.
men (Figures 2, 3, and 4). e, and socioeconomic status.	This is the first empirical ev "positive" motivational sticke
	 Perhaps people with mer motivational stickers, to t to try to present a more "
ers ickers	 Perhaps use of motivation people who believe they constantly remind them of
er presence and gender.	This study cannot determine we have developed follow-u longitudinal designs.
ence, <i>F</i> (1, 368) = 25.59, <i>p</i> < .001.	

Stickers No Stickers Women Men of sticker presence and gender. p = .001. Effect of sticker presence, F(1, 368) = 36.14, p < .001Anxiety Stickers No Stickers Women

icker presence and gender. I, p = .001. Effect of sticker presence, F(1, 368) = 52.88, p < .001.



Depression

, p < .001. Effect of sticker presence, F(1, 368) = 96.18, p < .001.

Discussion

tional laptop stickers was associated with de negative emotions, as well as more symptoms self-injury. Unexpectedly, associations between ealth problems were significantly stronger

vidence we know of linking the use of so-called ers with mental health vulnerabilities.

ntal health concerns are more likely to apply try to make themselves feel better, and/or 'positive" image to others?

onal stickers increases mental health problems for need to always be "positive," because the stickers of a goal that is nearly impossible to live up to?

e the direction(s) of the associations we found, but up studies to address this using experimental and