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What Is (And Isn't) Fair Use in Music Sampling?

Abstract
"Fair use" is a principle embedded in copyright law which permits -- under circumstances governed by a set of considerations commonly known as "the four factors" -- the borrowing of material from copyrighted works to create new works. Created for Fair Use Week 2018, this poster highlights the principle as it has applied to three controversial cases involving music sampling. For each case, the observer is given the essential facts; shown which of the four factors (represented by icons) were most central; and told the real-world outcome of each case. As an interactive display, the poster covered each "Outcome" column with a flap, requesting the observer to make a guess as to the outcome before confirming it.

Via a listening stand near the poster, observers were also enabled to hear excerpts from the contested works. Those excerpts may be accessed at: http://musselmanlibrary.org/fair-use-week/2018/samples.html
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### The Facts

**The Verve “Bitter Sweet Symphony”**

In return for 50% of royalties, The Verve were permitted to use a 5-second sample from an orchestral version of a Rolling Stones song. When the new song became a hit, the rights-holder sued for all royalties, claiming the portion of the original used exceeded the agreement.

**Danger Mouse The Grey Album**

DJ Danger Mouse mashed up Jay-Z’s *Black Album* with the Beatles’ *White Album*. The result was an Internet sensation. But Danger Mouse had not secured copyright clearance from either party, and the Beatles’ company threatened legal action unless he stopped distributing the album.

**Drake “Pound Cake”**

Drake sampled the majority of a brief spoken-word “rap” by jazz artist Jimmy Smith. The original was edited slightly, both to remove musicians’ names and to change the meaning of one sentence. The Smith estate sued, claiming copyright infringement.

### The Factors

- **The Verve**
  - Phone
  - Percentage

- **Danger Mouse**
  - Phone
  - Dollar

- **Drake**
  - Light bulb
  - Percentage

### The Outcome

**The Verve**

The Verve agreed to surrender all of its royalties, and to give composer credit to Mick Jagger and Keith Richards, authors of the original song.

**Danger Mouse**

Danger Mouse complied with the legal order. But by then the album had been both lavishly praised and heavily downloaded, and no prosecution was pursued. (In fact, two years later, Jay-Z and Paul McCartney performed together at the Grammy Awards.)

**Drake**

A federal judge declared this fair use. By editing just one sentence of the original, Drake had given Jimmy Smith’s words new meaning and purpose, thus fulfilling copyright’s “ultimate goal” – “to expand public knowledge and understanding.”