

[Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications](https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/physfac) **Physics and Astronomy**

6-9-2020

Testing a Thermodynamic Approach to Collective Animal Behavior in Laboratory Fish Schools

Julia A. Giannini Gettysburg College

James G. Puckett Gettysburg College

Follow this and additional works at: [https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/physfac](https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/physfac?utm_source=cupola.gettysburg.edu%2Fphysfac%2F154&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/76?utm_source=cupola.gettysburg.edu%2Fphysfac%2F154&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Biological and Chemical Physics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/196?utm_source=cupola.gettysburg.edu%2Fphysfac%2F154&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Share feedback](https://docs.google.com/a/bepress.com/forms/d/1h9eEcpBPj5POs5oO6Y5A0blXRmZqykoonyYiZUNyEq8/viewform) about the accessibility of this item.

Recommended Citation

Giannini, J.A., and ,Puckett, J.G. (2020). Testing a thermodynamic approach to collective animal behavior in laboratory fish schools. Physical Review E, 101 (6), 062605.

This is the author's version of the work. This publication appears in Gettysburg College's institutional repository by permission of the copyright owner for personal use, not for redistribution. Cupola permanent link: https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/physfac/154

This open access article is brought to you by The Cupola: Scholarship at Gettysburg College. It has been accepted for inclusion by an authorized administrator of The Cupola. For more information, please contact [cupola@gettysburg.edu.](mailto:cupola@gettysburg.edu)

Testing a Thermodynamic Approach to Collective Animal Behavior in Laboratory Fish Schools

Abstract

Collective behaviors displayed by groups of social animals are observed frequently in nature. Understanding and predicting the behavior of complex biological systems is dependent on developing effective descriptions and models. While collective animal systems are characteristically nonequilibrium, we can employ concepts from equilibrium statistical mechanics to motivate the measurement of material-like properties in laboratory animal aggregates. Here, we present results from a new set of experiments that utilize high speed footage of two-dimensional schooling events, particle tracking, and projected static and dynamic light fields to observe and control the behavior of negatively phototaxic fish schools (Hemigrammus bleheri). First, we use static light fields consisting of dark circular regions to produce visual stimuli that confine the schools to a range of areas. We find that schools have a maximum density which is independent of group size, and that a swim pressurelike quantity, Π increases linearly with number density, suggesting that unperturbed schools exist on an isotherm. Next, we use dynamic light fields where the radius of the dark region shrinks linearly with time to compress the schools. We find that an effective temperature parameter depends on the compression time and our results are thus consistent with the school having a constant heat flux. These findings further evidence the utility of effective thermodynamic descriptions of nonequilibrium systems in collective animal behavior.

Keywords

Collective behavior, active matter, thermodynamics

Disciplines

Animal Sciences | Biological and Chemical Physics | Physics

Testing a thermodynamic approach to collective animal behavior in laboratory fish schools

Julia A. Giannini

Department of Physics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244, USA

James G. Puckett[∗](#page-2-0)

Department of Physics, Gettysburg College, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325, USA

(Dated: June 11, 2020)

Collective behaviors displayed by groups of social animals are observed frequently in nature. Understanding and predicting the behavior of complex biological systems is dependent on developing effective descriptions and models. While collective animal systems are characteristically nonequilibrium, we can employ concepts from equilibrium statistical mechanics to motivate the measurement of material-like properties in laboratory animal aggregates. Here, we present results from a new set of experiments that utilize high speed footage of two-dimensional schooling events, particle tracking, and projected static and dynamic light fields to observe and control the behavior of negatively phototaxic fish schools (Hemigrammus bleheri). First, we use static light fields consisting of dark circular regions to produce visual stimuli that confine the schools to a range of areas. We find that schools have a maximum density which is independent of group size, and that a swim pressure-like quantity, Π increases linearly with number density, suggesting that unperturbed schools exist on an isotherm. Next, we use dynamic light fields where the radius of the dark region shrinks linearly with time to compress the schools. We find that an effective temperature parameter depends on the compression time and our results are thus consistent with the school having a constant heat flux. These findings further evidence the utility of effective thermodynamic descriptions of nonequilibrium systems in collective animal behavior.

PACS numbers: 87.50.yg,87.23.Ge,05.70.Ce

INTRODUCTION

Collective behavior is exhibited across many different scales in animal groups from microscopic bacteria or algae colonies [\[1–](#page-7-0)[3\]](#page-7-1) to macroscopic insects [\[4–](#page-7-2)[7\]](#page-7-3), schools of fish [\[8](#page-7-4)[–10\]](#page-7-5) and flocks of birds [\[11](#page-7-6)[–13\]](#page-7-7). Collective behavior arises from self-organizing interactions between individuals [\[14,](#page-7-8) [15\]](#page-7-9) and can give rise to complex emergent group behaviors which surpass an individual's ability in navigation [\[8,](#page-7-4) [10\]](#page-7-5) and foraging success [\[16,](#page-7-10) [17\]](#page-7-11). In nature, groups can exhibit several morphologies or "states" of collective animal behavior from disordered swarms to ordered flocks and mills. While individual animals may exhibit a range of behaviors and personalities [\[18,](#page-7-12) [19\]](#page-7-13), early work showed that these collective 'states' can be effectively modeled by active self-propelled particles (SPP) following uniform behavioral rules [\[14,](#page-7-8) [20\]](#page-7-14). Moreover, the simplest and perhaps the most studied model, the Vicsek model [\[20\]](#page-7-14), exhibits a continuous phase transition between disordered (swarm) and ordered (flock) states [\[21–](#page-7-15)[25\]](#page-7-16). In experiments, transitions between ordered and disordered phases have also been observed as the density of individuals increases [\[4,](#page-7-2) [9\]](#page-7-17).

As collective animal systems share many analogous features to nonliving active matter systems e.g., granular rods [\[26,](#page-7-18) [27\]](#page-7-19) and self-propelled colloids [\[28\]](#page-7-20), recent work has sought to build a unified framework to model soft matter systems.

Thus far, the theoretical approach has largely focused on studying active brownian particles (ABPs) which provide an ideal system to first construct a nonequilibrium thermodynamics for active matter [\[3,](#page-7-1) [29,](#page-7-21) [30\]](#page-7-22). In a thermal system, the ideal gas law, $P = \rho kT$, relates the mechanical pressure of the system confining the gas with the number density and temperature, where ρ is the number density, k Boltzmann's constant, and T is the equilibrium temperature. However, whether or not the concepts of state variables such as pressure and temperature can be directly applied to nonequilibrium systems is an open question [\[31\]](#page-7-23). Remarkably, simulated ABPs and experimental colloids have been shown to obey an equation of state much like their equilibrium counterparts [\[32–](#page-7-24)[36\]](#page-7-25), though the equation of state appears to only hold for spherical particles with torque-free interactions [\[37–](#page-7-26)[39\]](#page-7-27). Furthermore, in a recent experiment, researchers found that mechanical pressure does not equilibrate in a system of polar discs with different packing fractions and is therefore not a state variable [\[40\]](#page-7-28). However, the pressure in an active matter system is still a useful quantity [\[41\]](#page-7-29), as even in anisotropic systems, the bulk pressure (swim stress) is self-consistent with the surface pressure [\[42\]](#page-7-30).

An alternate approach taken in recent experiments is to borrow concepts and techniques from statistical mechanics and the physics of materials to test the effectiveness of state variable-like descriptions directly in an-

[∗] jpuckett@gettysburg.edu

Figure 1. (a) Schematic of our experimental setup. The experimental arena (a confined circular area 100 cm in diameter) lies inside a larger 122 by 244 cm tank. Video footage is captured by a camera fitted with an infrared filter. (b) Sample (static) visual stimulus used in experiments with the silhouettes of individual fish $(N = 100)$ overlaid for scale.

imal groups. Recent experiments on insect groups have detected a density dependent phase transition [\[4\]](#page-7-2), measured aggregate surface tension and viscosity [\[43\]](#page-8-0), and found that groups of fire ants can form viscoelastic shearthinning materials [\[44\]](#page-8-1). In bird flocks, experimental observations have found scale-free behavioral correlations, [\[45\]](#page-8-2) a signature of a continuous phase transition, and have shown that flocks can be modeled using a maximum entropy approach [\[13,](#page-7-7) [46,](#page-8-3) [47\]](#page-8-4). Experiments on flying insect swarms have measured the susceptibility [\[48\]](#page-8-5), observed linear response to external perturbations (sound) [\[49\]](#page-8-6), found that swarms have a finite modulus [\[50\]](#page-8-7), and observed phase co-existence [\[51\]](#page-8-8). Recently, experiments on midges have shown that a swarm responding to variable light stimuli contracts along an isotherm [\[52\]](#page-8-9).

In this work, we conduct a novel experiment aimed at investigating laboratory schools of rummy-nose tetra (Hemigrammus bleheri) in a thermodynamic framework. Since the tetra are negatively phototactic and prefer to be in dark regions in their environment, we project a circular dark disk on the center of a large quasi-two dimensional tank, as shown in Fig. $1(ab)$. disk By controlling the radius R_{proj} of the projected dark spot, we confine the fish to a certain region of the tank as shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-3-0)b). Note that the fish are not mechanically confined, only weakly visually confined, and therefore can swim out of the central dark region with out experiencing any mechanical force. We project both static and dynamic light fields and investigate the response of the fish to the different perturbations. In the static light field experiments, the radius of the projected dark disk R_{proj} is constant during each experimental trial. In the dynamic light field experiments, we start with a radius R_{proj} much larger than the unperturbed radii of the schools and reduce the radius of the projected dark disk with time. We then investigate the group level kinematic statistics of the schools and compare static and dynamic light perturbations.

METHODS AND RESULTS

We filmed schooling events of groups of tetras (body length, $BL=3.4\pm0.5$ cm) in a circular experimental arena $(29.4 \text{ BL in diameter}, 6 \text{ cm water depth}, T = 25 \pm 0.5^{\circ}C).$ The arena (a clear acrylic wall in a circular shape) lies inside a larger 36BL by 72BL outer rectangular tank which houses water filtration and heating elements. The outer tank provides a constant temperature heat bath to maintain the temperature of the inner circular tank. The projected visual stimulus extends beyond the inner tank and covers the entire outer tank, as fish can see through the clear inner wall. Fish were randomly selected from one of two home tanks (each tank having $N_{\text{home}} = 150$) and transferred to the experimental arena. Experiments were scheduled such that no individual was used in an experiment on two consecutive days. Before data collection, fish were given one hour to acclimatize to the experimental arena.

The fish schools were observed using an IR camera (PointGrey GS3-U3-41C6NIR-C) placed 180 cm over the tank, which records images at 4Mpx and 30 Hz, as shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-3-0)a). The tank was illuminated from below with an array of IR LEDs, which are invisible to the fish but visible to our camera. Further details on video analysis, individual fish tracking, and information on fish husbandry are reported in a previous work [\[10\]](#page-7-5).

As shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-3-0)ab), a projector located 226 cm over the experimental arena casts a light field at 30 Hz onto the bottom of the tank. Throughout this work, the light field consist of a black disk (10 lux) with radius R_{proj} on a grey background (150 lux). A cropped sample image of the light field is shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-3-0)b), with an overlay of the silhouette of a school of tetras for scale.

Static experiments

In our first series of experiments, the projected light fields consisted of a black disk with a constant radius R_{proj} . We investigate the effect of confinement by the visual field as a function of six different static $R_{\text{proj}} = 1.65, 2.61, 3.82, 5.26, 8.81 \text{ BL}$ for three group sizes $N = 25, 50$ and 100. For each trial, the static field was displayed for 1 min to allow the fish to reach a steady state, after which the camera recorded a video for 2 minutes. We repeated experimental trials with randomly selected R_{proj} and N to generate 10 replicates for each R_{proj} and N combination.

We found that the visual field provides an effective confinement as shown in Fig. $2(a)$, where the twodimensional probability distribution indicates that the time-averaged structure of the school is axisymmetric. The radial probability distributions $\mathcal{P}(r)$ for different R_{proj} are shown for $N = 100$ in Fig. [2\(](#page-4-0)b). For the largest R_{proj} , we find that the experimental schools are entirely

Figure 2. (a) The two-dimensional probability distribution for schools of $N = 100$ tetras and with a constant projected radius of $R_{\text{proj}} = 5.3$ BL. Depicts probability of finding an individual in a given region of the experimental arena. (b) Radial probability distributions for $N = 100$ and $R_{\text{proj}} = 1.6, 2.6, 3.8, 5.3, 6.9, 8.8$ BL. (c) Number density ρ of schools as a function of the ratio of the group size N and the volume of water within the projected dark disk for groups of $N = 25, 50, 100$ individuals. The maximum measured number density occurs at $\rho_{\text{proj}} = 0.64$, and is marked by the dashed vertical line. (d) The swim pressurelike Π is shown as a function of average number density ρ in trials with varying static R_{proj} for group sizes of $N = 25, 50, 100$. The fit line represents an isotherm with an effective temperature for the static experiments of $kT_{\text{static}} \approx 2.45$.

contained within R_{proj} . However, for smaller R_{proj} , individuals may freely swim beyond R_{proj} , though as expected, fish are more likely to be found near the center of the dark spot. As shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-4-0)b), we find $\mathcal{P}(r)$ decreases with r , quickly for small R_{proj} and more gradually and approximately linearly for larger R_{proj} .

In Fig. $2(c)$, we show that the light field provides a weak confinement which competes with overcrowding, as the fish do not exceed a maximal density. The projected dark area weakly confines the fish and is our control parameter, where the ratio of the number of fish to the projected dark area is $\rho_{\text{proj}} = N/(A_{\text{proj}}h_{\text{water}})$, where $h_{\text{water}} \approx 1.75$ BL. The school changes size based on the projected dark area, where individual fish must balance an effective attraction to the dark area and an effective repulsion from overcrowding. The measured number density of the school ρ is determined by finding the quasitwo-dimensional area $A_{\rm school}$ of the school and multiplying it by the water depth all in units of average body length. The area of the school is the area of the covariance error ellipse determining the 95% confidence interval, which was found to be less susceptible to the locations of outlying fish compared to a simple convex hull

area. When R_{proj} is large enough so that $\rho_{\text{proj}} \lesssim 0.5$, we see that the school adjusts its density roughly independent of N. We also find that the school has a maximum number density per fish $\rho_{\text{max}} \approx 1.1 \text{ fish}/BL^3$ to which the school will compress. At smaller R_{proj} (large ρ_{proj}), large schools ($N = 100$) extend beyond R_{proj} , where fish outside the projected dark disk are more disperse, decreasing ρ . Using the visual light field, we can control the the number density of the school ρ by approximately a factor of two.

Since the fish are visually (and weakly) confined within the projected light field, one cannot calculate a mechanical pressure since there is no momentum exchange between individuals and a container. The pressure for an ideal active matter system can be derived as $\Pi_{\text{swim}} =$ $n\zeta U_0^2 \tau_R/2$ for two dimensional systems[\[34\]](#page-7-31), where ζ is the hydrodynamic drag and $U_0 \tau_R$ is the run length in a reorientation time. However, in many experiments, either due to finite size effects or insufficiently length of trajectories, these terms are not measurable. Similar quantities to the swim pressure have been derived from a virial equation [\[53\]](#page-8-10) and were shown to relate to thermodynamic phases in other collective animal systems [\[51,](#page-8-8) [52\]](#page-8-9), even though these "pressures" are not equivalent to the mechanical pressure.

We define a "pressure" similar to previous experiments, where the swim pressure-like quantity (per unit mass) is related to the ratio of kinetic energy per unit volume,

$$
\Pi = \left\langle \frac{N}{V} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} v_i^2 \right\rangle_t \tag{1}
$$

where N is the number of individuals, V is the volume occupied by the school, v_i is the velocity of individual of fish i, and the notation $\langle \rangle_t$ denotes a time-average. Since the system is quasi-two-dimensional, V is measured by $A_{\rm school}h_{\rm water}$. This quantity is the average ratio of the total kinetic energy to the volume of the school. Written more compactly below in terms of number density $\rho =$ N/V and the rms velocity, we have,

$$
\Pi = \left\langle \rho v_{\text{rms}}^2 \right\rangle_t,\tag{2}
$$

where both ρ and $v_{\rm rms}$ are functions of time. This equation is analogous to the classical definition $P = \rho kT$, where $\rho = N/V$, k is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the temperature. While Π and v_{rms} are positively correlated, both ρ and $v_{\rm rms}$ are time dependent quantities, so Π can not be interchanged with or depend solely on $v_{\rm rms}$.

In Fig. [2\(](#page-4-0)d), we show that Π increases linearly as function of ρ ($F_{1,16} = 27.15$, $p = 8.6 \times 10^{-5}$) for schools of different N and R_{proj} . The slope of $\Pi(\rho)$ yields an effective temperature of $kT_{\text{eff}} = 2.45$ for our set of static experiments. While this result may at first glance appear trivial, that the fish swim at roughly the same speed independent of the density or group size (e.g., $v_{\text{rms}}^2 = \text{const.}$), this is not the case as our subsequent dynamic experiments show.

Dynamic experiments

In our second series of experiments, projected light fields consisted of a light background and dark disks, where the radius of the disk, $R_{\text{proj}}(t) = R_0 (1 - t/\tau)$, decreases linearly in time from R_0 = 14.1 BL to 0 in τ seconds. We conducted trials with $\tau = 120, 60$, and 30 s and for group sizes $N = 50$ and 100, each with 10 repli-cates. In Fig. [3\(](#page-5-0)a), we show the number density ρ of the school as a function of time with $N = 100$ for each τ , where time is rescaled by τ . We find that the number density ρ of the schools increases roughly linearly with time as R_{proj} decreases, until about halfway through the trial, which corresponds to the approximately the same maximum number density ρ_{max} noted in static experiments. After the maximum number density is reached, the area of the school grows and subsequently begins to shoal freely as the area of the dark disk is much smaller

Figure 3. (a) The number density of $N = 100$ tetra schools as a function of time normalized by τ for $\tau = 30, 60$, and 120 s. We see that the school is compressible until it reaches the maximum density and afterward decreases in density and shoals freely. (b) The swim pressure-like quantity Π plotted as a function of number density for group size $N = 100$ and $\tau = 30,60$ and 100 s. For comparison, this data is overlaid on the data for the static experiments. The effective temperature of the school is computed via a least squares linear fit. (c) Average change in effective temperature of the system between the dynamic and static systems as a function of compression time τ . The fit line is a nonlinear least squares fit to Eq. [5.](#page-6-0)

than the area of the school. Since the school is not further compressed after $\tau/2$, we do not use this data for any subsequent results.

In Fig. [3\(](#page-5-0)b), we compute pressure-like Π as a function of ρ with $N = 100$ for each compression time $\tau = 30, 60$ and 100. Note that Π here is no longer time averaged, since ρ changes with time. Even for a slow compression $\tau = 120$ s, the pressure Π increases faster as a function

of ρ compared to the static case. Therefore, the effective temperature T_{eff} (the slope of the isotherm on $\Pi(\rho)$) increases as the compression times τ decrease. The school not only adjusts its number density ρ in response to the shrinking projected disk, but also changes its kinetic energy $(\frac{1}{2}v_{\text{rms}}^2)$ based on the rate of change of the dark area. When the system is compressed, the size of the school decreases but there is also a change in the velocities of the fish, where shorter compression times τ result in larger increases in kinetic energy.

In Fig. $3(c)$, we show the change in effective temperature $k\Delta T_{\text{eff}}$ as a function of τ for different group sizes N, where $k\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = kT_{\text{eff}} - kT_{\text{eff,static}}$. Here, we find that $k\Delta T_{\text{eff}}$ increases with decreasing τ .

Although the school is far from equilibrium, for a first attempt to understand the relationship between $k\Delta T_{\text{eff}}$ and τ , we begin with borrowing ideas from classical equilibrium statistical mechanics. The first law of thermodynamics relates the heat added to the system Q and the work done on the system W to the change in internal energy

$$
\Delta U = Q + W \tag{3}
$$

where the change in internal energy is proportional to the change in temperature, giving $\Delta U = \alpha \Delta T_{\text{eff}}$, where α is a constant.

Since all dynamic experiments start with the same ρ_{initial} and end with ρ_{final} , they all do the same amount of work. Furthermore, to reach the density $\rho_{final} \approx \rho_{max}$, it takes approximately half the compression time, $\frac{\tau}{2}$, as shown in Fig. [3\(](#page-5-0)a). While this work, like the change in internal energy is extensive, for the sake of simplicity of the model, we ignore the dependence of each on N , and instead concentrate on the time dependence of heat loss.

Given a finite power of heat loss in the system, a thermodynamic process doing the same amount of work in less time should increase the temperature of the system. Therefore, the heat lost by the system as it is compressed to twice the initial density is $Q = \overline{P}_{\text{heat}} \frac{\tau}{2}$, where the rate of heat loss $\overline{P}_{\text{heat}}$ is proportional to the temperature difference ΔT_{eff} . We can rewrite $Q = -\beta \tau \Delta T_{\text{eff}}$.

Using each of these relationships, we can rewrite Eq. [3](#page-6-1) as

$$
\alpha \Delta T_{\text{eff}} = W - \beta \tau \Delta T_{\text{eff}}.
$$
 (4)

Solving for ΔT_{eff} , we have

$$
\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = \frac{W}{\alpha + \beta \tau} = \frac{1}{a + b\tau} \tag{5}
$$

There are then two fit parameters, $a = \alpha/W$ and $b =$ β/W , for 57 dynamic runs for both $N = 50$ and 100 and $\tau = 30, 60,$ and 120s. Using nonlinear least squares fitting on all the dynamic data $(N = 50$ and 100), we find $a = 0.037 \pm 0.063$ and $b = 0.0044 \pm 0.0018$ s⁻¹.

While the derivation of Eq. [5](#page-6-0) was made with numerous idealized assumptions, remarkably, we find the uncertainty in b is small compared to its value, and the model is in good agreement with the experimental data. Certainly, there are several ways to treat this derivation more rigorously including: considerations of N dependence for ΔU , Q and W, or accounting for the rate of work done during the compression in the expression for the rate of heat loss. However, given this simplified and arguably na¨ıve treatment, our results are consistent with the argument for a 'finite heat flux' of the school, where a faster dynamic compression yields a larger change in effective temperature.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Our findings show that rummy-nose tetra (Hemigrammus bleheri) can be visually confined with projected light fields and that their number density ρ can be controlled without applying any physical force. Using static light fields, we find that Π depends linearly on the number density ρ consistent with the behavior of an isotherm, and that schools exhibit a common effective temperature that is independent of the degree of static confinement imposed on the system. In response to dynamic light fields, the school adjust its number density ρ to fit within the projected dark area, balancing the favor of being in the dark area with overcrowding. While Π and ρ are still linearly related, we find that the slope or effective temperature is dependent on the compression time, with faster compression leading to larger T_{eff} . Note that while ρ_{proj} grows quadratically with time, we find that ρ increases approximately linearly with t/τ . This may indicate that ρ is rate limited by biology or physiology. Additionally, fish on the boundary may respond more strongly to the shrinking dark disk, making ρ nonuniform and larger at the boundary.

Furthermore, our dynamic studies show that there is a finite rate of heat lost during these dynamic compressions. This heat loss drives the system back to $T_{\text{eff,static}}$ likely because the fish have a preferred swim speed. As stated above, in the experiments utilizing static light fields, the effective temperature remained constant for all confining areas. We propose that this is due to the fish consistently achieving their preferred swim speed in the static experiments. This observation is consistent with Eq. [5](#page-6-0) given $\tau \to \infty$, where an infinitely slow compression should yield the same effective temperature observed in the static experiments. However, for the dynamic compression experiments, the compression time τ determines both the number density $\rho(t)$ and the swim speed $v_{\text{rms}}(t)$. For decreasing τ , the effective temperature increases as work is done but there is less time for heat to dissipate. Many possible biological or physiological reasons exist for this finite rate of heat loss which may include finite response times to either the visual stimuli (mainly concerning fish on the perimeter) or inter-individual kinetics.

Future work may explore various extensions including investigating larger schools or a wider range of τ . In particular, one could explore new thermodynamic processes on schools of social fish (e.g., effective adiabatic compression) or extend these methods to other social animals. In this regard, investigating the response of group behavior to perturbations may lead to the construction of proper definitions for pressure-like and temperature-like variables for collective animal behavior and other active matter systems.

We thank N. Ouellette and N. Gov for illuminating discussions. This work is also supported by Gettysburg College and by the Cross-Disciplinary Science Institute at Gettysburg College (X-SIG).

- [1] H. P. Zhang, A. Be'er, E.-L. Florin, and H. L. Swinney, [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001651107) 107, [13626 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001651107)
- [2] M. E. Cates, [Reports on Progress in Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/75/4/042601) 75, 042601 [\(2012\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/75/4/042601) [arXiv:1208.3957.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3957)
- [3] M. C. Marchetti, J. F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R. A. Simha, [Reviews](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1143) [of Modern Physics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1143) 85, 1143 (2013).
- [4] J. Buhl, D. J. T. Sumpter, I. D. Couzin, J. J. Hale, E. De-spland, E. R. Miller, and S. J. Simpson, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1125142) 312, [1402 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1125142)
- [5] D. H. Kelley and N. T. Ouellette, [Scientific Reports](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep01073) 3, [1073 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep01073)
- [6] J. G. Puckett, D. H. Kelley, and N. T. Ouellette, [Scien](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04766)tific Reports 4[, 4766 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04766)
- [7] A. Attanasi, A. Cavagna, L. Del Castello, I. Giardina, T. S. Grigera, A. Jelić, S. Melillo, L. Parisi, O. Pohl, E. Shen, and M. Viale, [Nature Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3035) 10, 691 (2014).
- [8] A. Berdahl, C. J. Torney, C. C. Ioannou, J. J. Faria, and I. D. Couzin, Science 339[, 574 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1225883)
- [9] K. Tunstrøm, Y. Katz, C. C. Ioannou, C. Huepe, M. J. Lutz, and I. D. Couzin, [PLoS Computational Biology](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002915) 9, [e1002915 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002915)
- [10] J. G. Puckett, A. R. Pokhrel, and J. A. Giannini, [Scien](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26037-9)tific Reports 8[, 7587 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26037-9)
- [11] M. Ballerini, N. Cabibbo, R. Candelier, A. Cavagna, E. Cisbani, I. Giardina, V. Lecomte, A. Orlandi, G. Parisi, A. Procaccini, M. Viale, and V. Zdravkovic, [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711437105) 105, [1232 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711437105)
- [12] M. Ballerini, N. Cabibbo, R. Candelier, A. Cavagna, E. Cisbani, I. Giardina, A. Orlandi, G. Parisi, A. Procaccini, M. Viale, and V. Zdravkovic, [Animal Behaviour](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.02.004) 76[, 201 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.02.004)
- [13] W. Bialek, A. Cavagna, I. Giardina, T. Mora, E. Silvestri, M. Viale, and A. M. Walczak, [Proceedings of the](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1118633109) [National Academy of Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1118633109) 109, 4786 (2012).
- [14] I. D. Couzin, J. Krause, R. James, G. D. Ruxton, and N. R. Franks, [Journal of Theoretical Biology](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1006/jtbi.2002.3065) 218, 1 [\(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1006/jtbi.2002.3065)
- [15] D. J. T. Sumpter, Collective Animal Behavior (Prince-

ton University Press, Princeton, N.J, 2010) oCLC: ocn498366088.

- [16] T. J. Pitcher, A. E. Magurran, and I. J. Winfield, [Be](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00300175)[havioral Ecology and Sociobiology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00300175) 10, 149 (1982).
- [17] S. Bazazi, K. S. Pfennig, N. O. Handegard, and I. D. Couzin, [Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00265-012-1336-1) 66, 879 [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00265-012-1336-1)
- [18] J. E. Herbert-Read, S. Krause, L. J. Morrell, T. M. Schaerf, J. Krause, and A. J. W. Ward, [Proceedings of](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rspb.2012.2564) [the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rspb.2012.2564) 280, 20122564 [\(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rspb.2012.2564)
- [19] J. W. Jolles, N. J. Boogert, V. H. Sridhar, I. D. Couzin, and A. Manica, [Current Biology](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cub.2017.08.004) 27, 2862 (2017).
- [20] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226) 75, 1226 (1995).
- [21] G. Grégoire and H. Chaté, [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.025702) 92, [025702 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.025702)
- [22] B. Szabó, G. J. Szöllösi, B. Gönci, Z. Jurányi, D. Selmeczi, and T. Vicsek, [Physical Review E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.74.061908) 74, [061908 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.74.061908)
- [23] H. Chaté, F. Ginelli, G. Grégoire, and F. Raynaud, [Phys](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.046113)ical Review E 77[, 046113 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.046113)
- [24] M. Aldana, H. Larralde, and B. Vázquez, [Interna](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217979209053552)[tional Journal of Modern Physics B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217979209053552) 23, 3661 (2009), [arXiv:0907.3434.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.3434)
- [25] T. Vicsek and A. Zafeiris, [Physics Reports](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004) 517, 71 [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.03.004)
- [26] S. Ramaswamy, R. A. Simha, and J. Toner, [Europhysics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-00346-7) [Letters \(EPL\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-00346-7) 62, 196 (2003), [arXiv:cond-mat/0208573.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0208573)
- [27] V. Narayan, S. Ramaswamy, and N. Menon, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1140414) 317[, 105 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1140414)
- [28] J. Palacci, S. Sacanna, A. P. Steinberg, D. J. Pine, and P. M. Chaikin, Science 339[, 936 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1230020)
- [29] S. Ramaswamy, [Annual Review of Condensed Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104101) Physics 1[, 323 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-070909-104101)
- [30] A. Patch, D. Yllanes, and M. C. Marchetti, [Physical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.012601) Review E 95[, 012601 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.012601)
- [31] L. F. Cugliandolo, [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/44/48/483001) [and Theoretical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/44/48/483001) 44, 483001 (2011), [arXiv:1104.4901.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4901)
- [32] X. Yang, M. L. Manning, and M. C. Marchetti, [Soft](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00927D) Matter 10[, 6477 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00927D)
- [33] S. A. Mallory, A. Šarić, C. Valeriani, and A. Cacciuto, [Physical Review E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.052303) 89, 052303 (2014).
- [34] S. C. Takatori, W. Yan, and J. F. Brady, [Physical Review](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.028103) Letters 113[, 028103 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.028103)
- [35] S. C. Takatori and J. F. Brady, [Physical Review E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.032117) **91**, [032117 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.032117)
- [36] F. Ginot, I. Theurkauff, D. Levis, C. Ybert, L. Bocquet, L. Berthier, and C. Cottin-Bizonne, [Physical Review X](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevX.5.011004) 5[, 011004 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevX.5.011004)
- [37] A. P. Solon, Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, M. E. Cates, Y. Kafri, M. Kardar, and J. Tailleur, [Nature Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3377) 11, 673 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3377)
- [38] A. P. Solon, J. Stenhammar, R. Wittkowski, M. Kardar, Y. Kafri, M. E. Cates, and J. Tailleur, [Physical Review](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.198301) Letters 114[, 198301 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.198301)
- [39] Y. Fily, Y. Kafri, A. P. Solon, J. Tailleur, and A. Turner, J. Phys. A 51, 044003 (2017).
- [40] G. Junot, G. Briand, R. Ledesma-Alonso, and O. Dauchot, [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.028002) 119, 028002 (2017).
- [41] A. K. Omar, Z.-G. Wang, and J. F. Brady, [Physical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.012604) Review E 101[, 012604 \(2020\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.012604)
- [42] W. Yan and J. F. Brady, [New Journal of Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aac3c5) 20, [053056 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aac3c5)
- [44] M. Tennenbaum, Z. Liu, D. Hu, and A. Fernandez-Nieves, [Nature Materials](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat4450) 15, 54 (2016).
- [45] A. Cavagna, A. Cimarelli, I. Giardina, G. Parisi, R. Santagati, F. Stefanini, and M. Viale, [Proceedings of the](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1005766107) [National Academy of Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1005766107) 107, 11865 (2010).
- [46] A. Cavagna, D. Conti, C. Creato, L. Del Castello, I. Giardina, T. S. Grigera, S. Melillo, L. Parisi, and M. Viale, [Nature Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys4153) 13, 914 (2017).
- [47] A. Cavagna, I. Giardina, and T. S. Grigera, [Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2017.11.003) Reports 728[, 1 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2017.11.003)
- [48] A. Attanasi, A. Cavagna, L. Del Castello, I. Giardina, S. Melillo, L. Parisi, O. Pohl, B. Rossaro, E. Shen, E. Sil-

vestri, and M. Viale, [PLoS Computational Biology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003697) 10, [e1003697 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003697)

- [49] R. Ni, J. G. Puckett, E. R. Dufresne, and N. T. Ouellette, [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.118104) 115, 118104 (2015).
- [50] R. Ni and N. T. Ouellette, [Physical Biology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/13/4/045002) 13, 045002 [\(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/13/4/045002)
- [51] M. Sinhuber and N. T. Ouellette, [Physical Review Let](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.178003)ters 119[, 178003 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.178003)
- [52] M. Sinhuber, K. van der Vaart, and N. T. Ouellette, [Journal of The Royal Society Interface](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2018.0739) 16, 20180739 [\(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2018.0739)
- [53] D. Gorbonos, R. Ianconescu, J. G. Puckett, R. Ni, N. T. Ouellette, and N. S. Gov, [New Journal of Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/7/073042) 18, [073042 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/7/073042)