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History Abroad: How Do Denmark and the U.S. Measure Up?

Abstract
By viewing bias itself as a product of history, educators and scholars can understand it better in their own times. By studying the historical path of the United States and Denmark, scholars can see that the nature of history can have subtle but important impacts on common education. Even when educators are aware of potential bias, history itself warps its dissemination.
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Historical Bias
The teaching of history is always fraught with bias. From political bias to class bias to race bias, history is in the eye of the beholder. This bias can be observed in casual conversations as well as in academic debates.

But is this concept as universal as we believe, or do Americans suffer from a particularly virulent breed of bias? By examining a country whose history has taken a markedly different trajectory, we can explore how people deal with the historical hand they are dealt.

Case Study: Denmark
Denmark provides an interesting case study to the discerning scholar. It is a much smaller country than the United States. It resides in a distinctly different part of the world, one shaped greatly by the events of the 20th century and beyond. And it is a country of high international standards: 12th in education, 1st in transparency and democracy, and 11th in happiness.

With much to emulate, Denmark serves as a prime example for investigation, both to see if its admirable traits include historical honesty and to see how conditions affect the bias of such a small nation.

Danish History
Danish history has two major differences with American history: its length and its expansion.

Denmark traces its history to the Vikings, who terrorized most of the European world throughout the Middle Ages. In particular, Denmark traces its heritage to Harold Blorvoks, the king who established Christianity amongst the Danish people. From the Viking period to the Reformation, Denmark was an expansionist state. Danes were ruling through Europe and the Middle East, exploring the Atlantic, serving as mercenaries, and conquering lands and kingdoms.

...A Dane ruled in the British Isles shortly before the Norman Conquest. And the Normans themselves were descended from the Danes who invaded and settled in Normandy. Settlements were founded in Iceland, Greenland, and the Americas. Trade networks were established throughout what would become Russia. And the Danes dominated the North and Baltic Seas.

Denmark reached new heights at the end of the Medieval period. Denmark, at its largest, had lands stretching from Germany, along the Baltic, and into Sweden. During the Kalmar Union, Denmark, Norway, and Sweden were ruled by the same queen, unifying most of Scandinavia, pushing Denmark to its greatest heights yet.

However, by the end of the Renaissance Period, Denmark had begun to fall from grace. Sweden broke away and declared independence. Meanwhile, larger European nations pushed Denmark out of many colonial ventures in the New World. Sweden constantly tried to expand southeast against Denmark, drawing Denmark of the will to fight. Defeat followed defeat. During the Napoleonic era, the Danish fleet, the second largest in Europe, was right on their border. Reduced to a rump state and surrounded by hostile or apathetic nations, Denmark had to do some soul searching to find its way into the 20th century.

The final nail in Denmark’s expansionist history was the loss of the duchies of Holstein-Goldenstedt to the Germans and the creation of a powerful German state right on their border. Reduced to a rump state and surrounded by hostile or apathetic nations, Denmark had to do some soul searching to find its way into the 20th century.

In my observations in the United States, I saw a more direct educational approach. I observed two classes on a weekly basis at Littlestown High School: U.S. Government and Human Psychology.

Of the two, the U.S. Government class served as an excellent comparison to my Danish experience. Here were Americans learning about America, just as the Danes had been learning about America.

The students learned in a very lecture/teacher oriented environment. Students were not overly supervised, but group work was not utilized in the same way. Slideshows and lecture style classes were much more common. Students were sometimes not up-to-date with recent events, and such events had to be included in the curriculum.

One thing that is clear is that the standard of work is lower in the United States than in Denmark. My observations in American schools has shown that the demands on students are low, and they are not reaching them. The quality of work is not by any means exemplary. However, it lacks the vigor and challenge of the Danish work that I saw.

Students were generally less interested in the material being reviewed. Their lack of engagement was disheartening, but was never fatal to their education.

Students were less concerned with higher education than in Denmark, where it is provided by the government and seen as an indicator of future economic success. Several were just waiting to graduate to get on with their lives. The culture of learning was markedly inferior to that abroad.

The challenges facing the class were greater: greater economic disparity and greater amounts of material to cover. As Americans, these students would necessarily have a global reach to their studies. Every country on Earth would be a valid choice for study, making it harder to properly cover global affairs. And economic differences at home impaired the ability of students to focus on their school work.

For my research, I relied on several sources. I joined the DIS Ambassadors program, which allowed me to meet and discuss issues of interest with Danish students and teachers. I also attended the DIS seminars and history lectures in Copenhagen to understand aspects of Danish history. I also relied upon letters from Danish students, asking me to capture their impressions of Danish teaching methods. I also relied upon several personal conversations with Danish, ranging from a newspaper reporter to a tour guide. For my research, there was the extensive literary I studied and read while abroad from my European Memory and identity course.

In the U.S., my main source of information was my observations in the field. Once a week, for two hours, I would observe two classes taught at Littlestown High School. I collaborated with several high school teachers, and we my professor at Gettysburg College. These classes were taught by the two Danish teachers while I was teaching two of those classes while in the field and have those first-hand experiences to include. I also relied upon information provided for colleagues in the field. I also had my own personal history to relay upon, as a former high school student in the United States. For my research, I relied upon recommendations from the Education department, as well as work from previous classmates and colleagues.
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Context
The trajectory of Danish history has influenced the culture of Denmark and subsequently, the way it remembers its past. Denmark has always had to contend with powerful neighbors, even at its peak. It decline throughout the modern period required a new lens for viewing Danish history. Danish history, by traditional standards, had shown a declining trajectory: lost lands, weakened economy, and defeated ambitions. A much more open and progressive style of teaching was the result of this cultural shift. History was open to discussion because history had not been kind to Denmark. Harsher questions about national identity became the norm, and teaching conformity to this was not tolerated.

American history, having taken the opposite trajectory, developed a different cultural view of the past. History was linear, with nothing but unbribled growth and success. Therefore, a straightforward, triumphalist narrative became the dominant method of teaching education. It fit nicely both with the perception and the realities of American history, allowing it to perpetuate itself.

Conclusions
My study was not nearly broad enough to make national generalizations. However, my work has developed a useful perspective for looking at bias in America.

By viewing bias itself as a product of history, educators and scholars can understand it better in their own times.

Danish history has a long train of unrealized ambitions and thwarted expansions. Danes have to address the realities of their nation’s history, which confront them every day. It is in their living memory from WWII. It is in their landscape, as Danes enter neighboring countries they once ruled. It is in their policies, as Danes craft an identity to fit their circumstances. Danes are acutely aware of exactly all Danish outside of Denmark, as a sign to the world that they are here and worthy of attention.

American history, by contrast, is short. The United States is a young country and a young country with an incredible past. It is a nation that known, quite literally, nothing but growth since its inception. In light of this, American history lacks a progressive, positivist view. Is it reasonable to say that such success could lead to bias at all level of a culture?